UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,562	10/03/2005	Rogerio Ramos	101.0083	9540
	7590 10/21/200 GER OILFIELD SERV	EXAMINER		
200 GILLINGH	IAM LANE	NEUDER, WILLIAM P		
MD 200-9 SUGAR LAND), TX 77478	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3672	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Ap		Applicant(s)	applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/525,562		RAMOS ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			William P. N	leuder	3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIGN OF	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no even Il apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Jul</i>	lv 2009						
'=	•	2b)⊠ This a		n-final.					
3)		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
- 4\⊠	_								
•	Claim(s) <u>139-155</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>152-155</u> is/are allowed. ⊠ Claim(s) <u>139 <i>and 148</i> i</u> s/are rejected.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>140-147 and 149-151</u> is/ar		0						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	-		nuirement					
		311311 3113, 31	0.000.01110	ian ement.					
	on Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by th			-					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object			•	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 5) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 139 and 149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lovell et al 20050263281 in view of Hemmings 6693660.

Art Unit: 3672

Lovell discloses a fiber optic sensor disposed in a well having a fiber optic line and a spinner which spins in contact with the well fluids (see par. 62). Lovell does not disclose a modulator functionally connected to the spinner. Hemmings discloses a modulator 1 functionally connected to a spinner 101. The modulator is used to adjust the signal of the spinner. It would have been considered obvious to provide Lovell et al with a modulator for his spinner as taught by Hemmings in order to adjust the signal for beam ellipticity. As to claim 148, how the signal is modulated is not seen how it further limits the apparatus claim.

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 140-147 and 149-151 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 152-155 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Neuder whose telephone number is 571-272-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,562 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William P Neuder/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.